## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

FILED

JUL - 6 2011

K. U.S. DISTRICT COURTERN DISTRICT OF TEXA

	BYDEPUTY CLERK
SHANNON PEREZ, HAROLD )	DEPOT OF SERVICE
DUTTON, JR. AND GREGORY TAMEZ )	
)	
Plaintiffs )	
v. )	CIVIL ACTION NO.
j.	SA-11-CA-360-OLG-JES-XR
STATE OF TEXAS; RICK PERRY,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
in his official capacity as Governor of the	
State of Texas; DAVID DEWHURST, )	
in his official capacity as Lieutenant )	
Governor of the State of Texas; JOE	
STRAUS, in his official capacity as Speaker )	
of the Texas House of Representatives; )	
HOPE ANDRADE, in her official )	
capacity as Secretary of State of the )	
State of Texas	
)	
Defendants )	

## ORDER

Pending before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief May Be Granted. (Dkt. #9). Plaintiffs filed a response thereto. (Dkt. #18). In the interim, Plaintiffs filed their Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. #13). The unopposed motion was granted on June 17, 2011. (Dkt. #15). Because Plaintiffs' complaint has been amended and Defendants have since filed their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. #20), Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief May Be Granted

(Dkt. #9) is DENIED as moot.1

SIGNED and ENTERED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of July, 2011.

ORLANDO L. GARCIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

8 cm 2. C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Defendants note in their new motion that the previous motion "is now moot." <u>See</u> Dkt. #20, p. 1, n. 1.